RESOLUTION No. 20-66

A RESOLUTION OF THE MAYOR AND THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF DORAL, FLORIDA, AWARDING REQUEST FOR PROPOSALS #2020-06, "CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT AT RISK SERVICES FOR DORAL CENTRAL PARK." TO KAUFMAN LYNN CONSTRUCTION. THE HIGHEST RANKED, MOST QUALIFIED, MOST RESPONSIVE AND RESPONSIBLE BIDDER: APPROVING AN AGREEMENT BETWEEN THE CITY AND KAUFMAN LYNN IN AN AMOUNT NOT TO EXCEED FUNDING ALLOCATED WITHIN THE GENERAL OBLIGATION PARKS BOND FOR THE PRE-CONSTRUCTION AND CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT SERVICES FOR DORAL CENTRAL PARK; AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO NEGOTIATE AND ENTER INTO AN AGREEMENT WITH THE NEXT HIGHEST QUALIFIED FIRM SUCCESSIVELY IF AN AGREEMENT CAN NOT BE NEGOTIATED WITH THE TOP RANKED FIRM: AUTHORIZING THE CITY MANAGER TO EXECUTE THE AGREEMENT AND EXPEND ALLOCATED FUNDING FROM WITHIN THE GENERAL OBLIGATION PARKS BOND ON BEHALF OF THE CITY IN FURTHERANCE HEREOF; PROVIDING FOR IMPLEMENTATION; AND **PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE**

WHEREAS, in response to Request for Proposal #2020-06 for "Construction Management at Risk services for Doral Central Park" (the "Project"), the City received five (5) bids by the February 28, 2020 deadline with all five (5) teams meeting the required criteria; and

WHEREAS, the established evaluation committee met on January 15, 2020 to review and score Phase 1 of the responsive and responsible proposers where the committee shortlisted the top ranked three firms: Kaufman Lynn, ANF/Wharton Smith, and PCL/Pirtle (the "Construction-Manager"); and

WHEREAS, the established evaluation committee met on April 20, 2020 to review and score the oral/virtual presentations of Phase 2, Round 1 of the shortlisted proposers where the committee scored Kaufman Lynn Construction as the highest ranked and most qualified firm from the top ranked firms; and WHEREAS, the established evaluation committee met again on May 1, 2020 to review and score the oral/virtual presentations of Phase 2, Round 2 of the shortlisted proposers where the committee scored Kaufman Lynn Construction as the highest ranked and most qualified firm from the top ranked firms; and

WHEREAS, a copy of the Phase 2 presentations, RFP #2020-06 Inventory, and Scoring Tabulation are all attached as Exhibit A; and

WHEREAS, the City Council finds that accepting the evaluation committee's recommendation and entering into a contract for the Project with the Construction Manager in an amount not to exceed funding allocated within the General Obligation Parks Bond, is in the best interest of the City.

WHEREAS, per Resolution 20-12 the City Manager is authorized to negotiate and agree on the phased Guaranteed Maximum Price (GMP) for each construction phase and report back to Council through monthly or quarterly reports as to acceptance of GMP's and construction progress; and

WHEREAS, funds for the pre-construction services, construction management services, and overall total construction costs will be allocated from the Park Bond Fund estimated at \$111,000,000 in account number 303.9000572.500650. \$40,000,000 will be funded from fiscal year 2020 and the remainder from the second bond issuance.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF DORAL AS FOLLOWS:

Section 1. Recitals. The above recitals are confirmed, adopted, and incorporated herein and made part hereof by this reference.

Section 2. Approval. The contract between the City and Kaufman Lynn Construction for the Project in an amount not to exceed funding allocated within the General Obligation Parks Bond, together with such non-material changes as may be acceptable to the City Manager and approved as to form and legality by the City Attorney, is hereby approved.

Section 3. Authorization. The City Manager is authorized to execute the CMAR contract and expend budgeted funds on behalf of the City.

<u>Section 4.</u> <u>Implementation.</u> The City Manager and the City Attorney are hereby authorized to take such further action as may be necessary to implement the purpose and the provisions of this Resolution.

Section 5. Effective Date. This Resolution shall take effect immediately upon adoption.

Res. No. 20-66 Page **4** of **4**

The foregoing Resolution was offered by Councilmember Mariaca who moved its adoption.

The motion was seconded by Councilmember Cabral and upon being put to a vote, the

vote was as follows:

Mayor Juan Carlos BermudezYesVice Mayor Christi FragaYesCouncilwoman Digna CabralYesCouncilman Pete CabreraYesCouncilwoman Claudia MariacaYes

PASSED AND ADOPTED this 13 day of May, 2020.

JUAN CARL RMUDEZ, MAYOR

ATTEST

CONNIE DIAZ, MMC CITY CLERK

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND LEGAL SUFFICIENCY FOR THE USE AND RELIANCE OF THE CITY OF DORAL ONLY:

LUIS FIGUEREDO, ESQ.

CITY ATTORNEY

EXHIBIT "A"



RFP No. 2020-06

PRE-CON AND CONSTRUCTION MANAGER AT RISK FOR DORAL CENTRAL PARK

BID TABULATION - PHASE II ROUND II

PCM/CMR FIRM: KAUFMAN-LYNN CONSTRUCTION	482					
Evaluation Criteria for Phase II Round II	Max Possible Points	Assigned Score				
	8	Arroyo	Bonfill	Gallet	Quintero	Velazco
Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	15	15	15	14	14
Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	15	15	14	14	13
Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	14	15	14	15	14
Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	15	14	14	14	15
Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	15	15	15	15	15	14
Approach and Methodology	15	14	14	15	14	14
Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	10	10	10	10	9
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	98	98	97	96	93

PCM/CMR FIRM: ANF GROUP - WHARTON-SMITH	478					
Evaluation Criteria for Phase II Round II	Max Possible Points	Assigned Score				
	A	Arroyo	Bonfill	Gallet	Quintero	Velazco
Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	14	15	15	14	14
Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	14	15	14	14	14
Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	14	15	14	15	14
Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	15	15	14	14	15
Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	15	14	14	14	15	15
Approach and Methodology	15	15	15	14	13	14
Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	10	9	9	10	9
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)		96	98	94	95	95

Preparer's Name:	Tanya Donigan		
Title:	Procurement Manager		
Preparer's Signature:	In augun 5/1/20:		

RFP No. 2020-06

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk For Doral Central Park Phase II – Round II Proposal Evaluation Form May 1st, 2020



Eval	uation Criteria for Phase II– Round II	Possible Points	Assigned Score
Ι.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	15
II.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	12
III.	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	14
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	15
V.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	15	15
VI.	Approach and Methodology	15	14
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	0
Tota	Score (Possible Max of 100 points)		98

Reviewer's Name (Print):	Carlos Arroyo
Reviewer's Title (Print):	Publice Work's Director
Reviewer's Signature:	Car an

RFP No. 2020-06

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk For Doral Central Park Phase II – Round II Proposal Evaluation Form May 1st, 2020



PCM/CMR FIRM: ANF GROUP – WHARTON SMITH

Eval	uation Criteria for Phase II – Round II	Possible Points	Assigned Score
Ι.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	14
11.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	14
III.	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	14
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	15
۷.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	15	14
VI.	Approach and Methodology	15	15
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	10
Tota	Score (Possible Max of 100 points)		96

Reviewer's Name (Print):	Carlor Arroyo
Reviewer's Title (Print):	Public Works Director
Reviewer's Signature:	Car any

RFP No. 2020-06

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk For Doral Central Park Phase II – Round II Proposal Evaluation Form May 1st, 2020

CRIDE CORIDE

Eval	uation Critoria for Phase II- Bound II	Possible	Assigned
LVal	dation citteria for Phase II- Round II	Points	Score
l.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	15
П.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	15
III.	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	15
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	14
V.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	15	15
VI.	Approach and Methodology	15	14
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	10
Tota	Score (Possible Max of 100 points)		98

Reviewer's Name (Print):	Eugene Collings-Bonfill
Reviewer's Title (Print):	Public Works Asst. Director
Reviewer's Signature:	A gifu
	H /

RFP No. 2020-06

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk For Doral Central Park Phase II – Round II Proposal Evaluation Form May 1st, 2020



PCM/CMR FIRM: ANF GROUP – WHARTON SMITH

Eval	uation Criteria for Phase II – Round II	Possible Points	Assigned Score
١.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	15
п.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	15
111.	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	15
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	15
۷.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	15	14
VI.	Approach and Methodology	15	15
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	9
Tota	Score (Possible Max of 100 points)		98

Reviewer's Name (Print):	Eugene Collings- Boufill	
Reviewer's Title (Print):	Public Works Asst. Director	
Reviewer's Signature:	ASuch	
	PT	

1

RFP No. 2020-06

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk For Doral Central Park Phase II – Round II Proposal Evaluation Form May 1st, 2020



Evaluation Criteria for Phase II– Round II		Assigned	
		Points	Score
1.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	15
п.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	14
Ш <u>.</u>	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	14
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	14
٧.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	15	15
VI.	Approach and Methodology	15	15
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	10
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)			97

Reviewer's Name (Print):	Mari Gallet
Reviewer's Title (Print):	Peputy City Manager
Reviewer's Signature:	(Asrlet

RFP No. 2020-06

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk For Doral Central Park Phase II – Round II Proposal Evaluation Form May 1st, 2020

Children Dort

PCM/CMR FIRM: ANF GROUP – WHARTON SMITH

Evaluation Critoria for Phase II - Round II		Possible	Assigned
EValu	Evaluation Criteria for Phase II – Round II		Score
١.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	15
II.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	14
111.	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	14
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	14
V.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	15	14
VI.	Approach and Methodology	15	14
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	9
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)			94

Reviewer's Name (Print):	Mari Gallet
Reviewer's Title (Print):	Acasty City Manager
Reviewer's Signature:	(ll Salled

RFP No. 2020-06

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk For Doral Central Park Phase II – Round II Proposal Evaluation Form May 1st, 2020

Children Dorres

Evaluation Criteria for Phase II- Round II		Possible	Assigned
Lvan	Evaluation Criteria for Phase II- Round II		Score
١.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	14
11.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	14
ш.	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	15
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	14
۷.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	15	15
VI.	Approach and Methodology	15	14
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	10
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)			96

Reviewer's Name (Print):	Lazaro Quintero
Reviewer's Title (Print):	Asst. Agrks & Recreation Director
Reviewer's Signature:	4

RFP No. 2020-06

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk For Doral Central Park Phase II – Round II Proposal Evaluation Form May 1st, 2020

PCM/CMR FIRM: ANF GROUP - WHARTON SMITH

Evolu	Evaluation Criteria for Phase II – Round II		Assigned
Evalu			Score
۱.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	14
11.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	14
Ш.	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	15
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	14
V.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	15	15
VI.	Approach and Methodology	15	13
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	10
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)			95

Reviewer's Name (Print):	Lazaro Quintero
Reviewer's Title (Print):	Asst. Parks & Lecrection Director
Reviewer's Signature:	

RFP No. 2020-06

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk For Doral Central Park Phase II – Round II Proposal Evaluation Form May 1st, 2020



Evaluation Criteria for Phase II– Round II		Possible	Assigned
		Points	Score
١.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	14
11.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	13
Ш.	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	14
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	15
V.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	15	14
VI.	Approach and Methodology	15	14
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	9
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)			93

	Λ
Reviewer's Name (Print):	NENE VENAZCO
Reviewer's Title (Print):	Widning Official
Reviewer's Signature:	la

RFP No. 2020-06

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk For Doral Central Park Phase II – Round II Proposal Evaluation Form May 1st, 2020



PCM/CMR FIRM: ANF GROUP – WHARTON SMITH

Evaluation Criteria for Phase II – Round II		Possible	Assigned
		Points	Score
١.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	14
11.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	14
111.	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	14
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	15
٧.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	15	15
VI.	Approach and Methodology	15	14
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	9
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)			95

	Λ	
Poviower's Name (Print):	King Vinger	
Reviewer 3 Name (1 mill).	TENE PERCE	
Reviewer's Title (Print):	REMPING Official	
Reviewer's Signature:		



RFP No. 2020-06

PRE-CON AND CONSTRUCTION MANAGER AT RISK FOR DORAL CENTRAL PARK

BID TABULATION - PHASE II

477

PCM/CMR FIRM: KAUFMAN-LYNN CONSTRUCTION

Evaluation Criteria for Phase II

	Max Possible Points	Assigned Score				
		Arroyo	Bonfill	Gallet	Quintero	Velazco
Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	15	15	14	14	15
Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	15	15	14	14	13
Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	15	15	14	15	14
Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	14	15	14	14	14
Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	10	10	9	10	10	10
Approach and Methodology	10	9	9	9	9	9
Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	10	9	9	10	g
Cost (Pre-Con Fee/Staffing Cost/ CM Fee)	10	10	10	9	9	10
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)		98	97	93	. 95	. 94

PCM/CMR FIRM: PCL/ PIRTLE

Evaluation Criteria for Phase II

Qualifications and Staffing Plan
Quality Control/ Quality Assurance
Constructability/ Design Review Process
Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout
Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships
Approach and Methodology
Past Performance on Similar Projects
Cost (Pre-Con Fee/Staffing Cost/ CM Fee)
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)

462

Max Possible Points		As	signed Sco	re	
	Arroyo	Bonfill	Gallet	Quintero	Velazco
15	15	15	14	14	14
15	15	14	14	14	13
15	15	14	14	13	15
15	14	14	12	14	15
10	9	8	9	10	9
10	9	8	8	9	10
10	10	10	9	9	10
10	9	8	8	8	10
	96	91	88	91	96

PCM/CMR FIRM: ANF GROUP - WHARTON-SMITH

476

Evaluation Criteria for Phase II

	Max Possible Points		As	signed Sco	re	
		Arroyo	Bonfill	Gallet	Quintero	Velazco
Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	15	15	14	14	15
Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	15	15	14	14	14
Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	15	15	14	15	14
Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	15	15	14	14	14
Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	10	9	10	9	10	9
Approach and Methodology	10	10	10	9	9	10
Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	10	10	9	10	9
Cost (Pre-Con Fee/Staffing Cost/ CM Fee)	10	8	9	9	7	10
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)		97	99	92	93	95

Preparer's Name:	Tanya Donigan		
Title:	Procurement Manager/ /		
Preparer's Signature:	In Deviddunn / 5/1/2020		

RFP No. 2020-06

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk

For Doral Central Park

Phase II Proposal Evaluation Form

April 20, 2020



Evaluation Criteria for Phase I Possible Points		Assigned	
		Points	Score
1.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	15
н.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	15
Ш.	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	15
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	14
۷.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	10	10
VI.	Approach and Methodology	10	9
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	10
VIII.	Cost (Pre-Con Fee/Staffing Cost/ CM Fee)	10	(0
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)			98

Reviewer's Name (Print):	Carlos Arroyo
Reviewer's Title (Print):	Public Works Director
Reviewer's Signature:	Cal any-

RFP No. 2020-06

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk For Doral Central Park Phase II Proposal Evaluation Form April 20, 2020



PCM/CMR FIRM: PCL – PIRTLE

Evaluation Criteria for Phase I		Possible	Assigned
		Points	Score
1.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	15
11.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	15
111.	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	15
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	14
V.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	10	9
VI.	Approach and Methodology	10	9
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	10
VIII.	Cost (Pre-Con Fee/Staffing Cost/ CM Fee)	10	9
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)			96

Reviewer's Name (Print):	Carlis Arnoyo
Reviewer's Title (Print):	Public Work's Director
Reviewer's Signature:	Car any

RFP No. 2020-06

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk For Doral Central Park Phase II Proposal Evaluation Form April 20, 2020



PCM/CMR FIRM: ANF GROUP - WHARTON-SMITH

Evaluation Criteria for Phase I P		Possible	Assigned
		Points	Score
١.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	15
11.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	ک)
111.	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	15
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	15
V.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	10	9
VI.	Approach and Methodology	10	10
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	10
VIII.	Cost (Pre-Con Fee/Staffing Cost/ CM Fee)	10	8
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)			97

Reviewer's Name (Print):	Carlos Arroyo
Reviewer's Title (Print):	Public Works Director
Reviewer's Signature:	Carling

RFP No. 2020-06

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk

For Doral Central Park

Phase II Proposal Evaluation Form

April 20, 2020

1

11



Evaluation Criteria for Phase I		Assigned	
Lvait	Points		Score
١.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	15
11.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	15
111.	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	15
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	15
V.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	10	9
VI.	Approach and Methodology	10	9
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	9
VIII.	Cost (Pre-Con Fee/Staffing Cost/ CM Fee)	10	10
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)			97

Reviewer's Name (Print):	Eugene Collings- Ronfill
Reviewer's Title (Print):	Public Works Assistant Director
Reviewer's Signature:	At they

RFP No. 2020-06

1

٨.

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk For Doral Central Park Phase II Proposal Evaluation Form April 20, 2020



PCM/CMR FIRM: PCL – PIRTLE

Possibl		Possible	Assigned
Evaluation Criteria for Phase i		Points	Score
Ι.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	15
11.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	14
III.	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	14
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	14
V.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	10	ક
VI.	Approach and Methodology	10	S
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	10
VIII.	Cost (Pre-Con Fee/Staffing Cost/ CM Fee)	10	S
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)			91

Reviewer's Name (Print):	Eugene Collings- Boufill
Reviewer's Title (Print):	Public works Assistant Director
Reviewer's Signature:	the fer

RFP No. 2020-06

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk For Doral Central Park Phase II Proposal Evaluation Form April 20, 2020



PCM/CMR FIRM: ANF GROUP - WHARTON-SMITH

Evaluation Criteria for Phase I		Possible	Assigned
		Points	Score
I.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	15
11.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	15
111.	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	15
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	15
V.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	10	10
VI.	Approach and Methodology	10	10
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	10
VIII.	Cost (Pre-Con Fee/Staffing Cost/ CM Fee)	10	9
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)		99	

Reviewer's Name (Print):	Egene Collings- Fourfi	21
Reviewer's Title (Print):	Public Works Assis	stant Director
Reviewer's Signature:	the safer	

RFP No. 2020-06

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk

For Doral Central Park

Phase II Proposal Evaluation Form

April 20, 2020



Evaluation Criteria for Phase I		Possible	Assigned
		Points	Score
١.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	14
11.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	14
III.	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	14
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	14
۷.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	10	10
VI.	Approach and Methodology	10	9
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	9
VIII.	Cost (Pre-Con Fee/Staffing Cost/ CM Fee)	10	9
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)			93

Reviewer's Name (Print):	Mari Gallet
Reviewer's Title (Print):	Depity City Marager
Reviewer's Signature:	Apallet

RFP No. 2020-06

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk For Doral Central Park Phase II Proposal Evaluation Form April 20, 2020



PCM/CMR FIRM: PCL – PIRTLE

Evaluation Criteria for Phase I		Possible	Assigned
		Points	Score
I.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	14
11.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	14
III.	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	14
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	12
V.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	10	9
VI.	Approach and Methodology	10	8
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	9
VIII.	Cost (Pre-Con Fee/Staffing Cost/ CM Fee)	10	8
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)			88

Reviewer's Name (Print):	Mari Gallet
Reviewer's Title (Print):	Deputy City Manager
Reviewer's Signature:	Agelt

RFP No. 2020-06

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk For Doral Central Park Phase II Proposal Evaluation Form April 20, 2020



PCM/CMR FIRM: ANF GROUP - WHARTON-SMITH

Evaluation Criteria for Phase I Point		Possible Points	Assigned Score
I.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	14
II.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	14
III.	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	14
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	14
V.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	10	9
VI.	Approach and Methodology	10	9
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	9
VIII.	Cost (Pre-Con Fee/Staffing Cost/ CM Fee)	10	92
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)			

Reviewer's Name (Print):	Mari Gallet	
Reviewer's Title (Print):	Depity Manager	
Reviewer's Signature:	ef sallet	

RFP No. 2020-06

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk For Doral Central Park

Phase II Proposal Evaluation Form

April 20, 2020

4

the



Po		Possible	Assigned
		Points	Score
I.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	14
11.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	14
III.	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	15
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	14
V.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	10	10
VI.	Approach and Methodology	10	ণ
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	10
VIII.	Cost (Pre-Con Fee/Staffing Cost/ CM Fee)	10	9
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)			95

Reviewer's Name (Print):	Lazaro Quintero
Reviewer's Title (Print):	Asst. Parks & Lecreation Director
Reviewer's Signature:	-2

RFP No. 2020-06

ù

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk For Doral Central Park Phase II Proposal Evaluation Form April 20, 2020



PCM/CMR FIRM: PCL – PIRTLE

Evaluation Criteria for Phase I		Possible	Assigned
		Points	Score
l.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	14
п.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	14
III.	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	13
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	14
V.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	10	10
VI.	Approach and Methodology	10	9
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	٩
VIII.	Cost (Pre-Con Fee/Staffing Cost/ CM Fee)	10	8
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)			91

Reviewer's Name (Print):	Lazaro Quinter
Reviewer's Title (Print):	Asst. Parks & Recreation Director
Reviewer's Signature:	h

RFP No. 2020-06

è

10

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk For Doral Central Park Phase II Proposal Evaluation Form April 20, 2020



PCM/CMR FIRM: ANF GROUP - WHARTON-SMITH

Evaluation Criteria for Phase I		Possible	Assigned
		Points	Score
١.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	14
11.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	14
III.	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	15
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	14
V.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	10	10
VI.	Approach and Methodology	10	9
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	10
VIII.	Cost (Pre-Con Fee/Staffing Cost/ CM Fee)	10	7
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)			

Reviewer's Name (Print):	Lazaro Quinten
Reviewer's Title (Print):	Asst. Pagks & hearention Director
Reviewer's Signature:	4

RFP No. 2020-06

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk For Doral Central Park

Phase II Proposal Evaluation Form

April 20, 2020



Evaluation Criteria for Phase I Possible Points		Assigned	
		Points	Score
١.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan		15
11.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	13
III.	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	14
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	14
V.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	10	10
VI.	Approach and Methodology	10	9
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	9
VIII.	Cost (Pre-Con Fee/Staffing Cost/ CM Fee)	10	10
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)			

Reviewer's Name (Print):	KENE VEHTRO ,
Reviewer's Title (Print):	BUIDINE OFFICIAL PUDDING PIRECTOR
Reviewer's Signature:	

RFP No. 2020-06

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk

For Doral Central Park

Phase II Proposal Evaluation Form April 20, 2020

COF DORT

PCM/CMR FIRM: PCL – PIRTLE

Evaluation Criteria for Phase I		Possible	Assigned
		Points	Score
I.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	14
п.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	13
111.	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	15
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	15
۷.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	10	9
VI.	Approach and Methodology	10	10
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	10
VIII.	I. Cost (Pre-Con Fee/Staffing Cost/ CM Fee) 10		10
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)			

Reviewer's Name (Print):	KENE VERAZIO.
Reviewer's Title (Print):	BUILDING Official President
Reviewer's Signature:	

RFP No. 2020-06

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk

For Doral Central Park

Phase II Proposal Evaluation Form April 20, 2020



PCM/CMR FIRM: ANF GROUP - WHARTON-SMITH

Evaluation Criteria for Phase I		Possible	Assigned
		Points	Score
١.	Qualifications and Staffing Plan	15	15
11.	Quality Control/ Quality Assurance	15	14
III.	Constructability/ Design Review Process	15	14
IV.	Cost Management/ Tracking/ Buyout	15	14
V.	Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships	10	9
VI.	Approach and Methodology	10	10
VII.	Past Performance on Similar Projects	10	9
VIII.	. Cost (Pre-Con Fee/Staffing Cost/ CM Fee) 10		<i>l</i> D
Total Score (Possible Max of 100 points)			

Reviewer's Name (Print):	Kené Verszio,
Reviewer's Title (Print):	Burburg Official Pirector.
Reviewer's Signature:	



RFP No. 2020-06

PRE-CON AND CONSTRUCTION MANAGER AT RISK FOR DORAL CENTRAL PARK

BID TABULATION

List of Respondents	Committee Member 1 (Max 100 pts)	Committee Member 2 (Max 100 pts)	Committee Member 3 (Max 100 pts)	Committee Member 4 (Max 100 pts)	Committee Member 5 (Max 100 pts)	Total Score
PCL/ PIRTLE	95	95	90	94	96	470
ANF GROUP - WHARTON-SMITH	96	94	97	95	90	472
OHL BUILDING	87	91	85	90	86	439
KL CONSTRUCTION	97	93	97	94	95	476
T & G CONSTRUCTORS	87	89	89	91	81	437

Reviewer's Name (Print):	Tanya Donigan		
Title:	Procurement Manager		
Signature:	In reigan 3/10/2020		



RFP No. 2020-06 - Phase 1

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk for Doral Central Park

List of Respondents	History, Organization, & Financial Conditions (Max 15 points)	Qualifications and Staffing Plan (Max 30 points)	Approach and Methodology (Max 30 points)	Past Performance on Similar Projects (Max 20 points)	DBE/ Local Participation at the PCM/CMR Level (Max 5 points)	Total Score (Max 100 points)
PCL/ PIRTLE	15	29	29	ר ו	5	95
ANF GROUP WHARTON-SMITH	15	29	29	18	У	96
OHL BUILDING	14	27	27	15	4	ଟ୍ୟ
KL CONSTRUCTION	15	29	29) ๆ	5	97
T&G CONSTRUCTORS	14	27	27	15	Ч	87

Reviewer's Name (Print):	Lazaro Aquintero
Reviewer's Signature:	1



RFP No. 2020-06 - Phase 1

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk for Doral Central Park

List of Respondents	History, Organization, & Financial Conditions (Max 15 points)	Qualifications and Staffing Plan (Max 30 points)	Approach and Methodology (Max 30 points)	Past Performance on Similar Projects (Max 20 points)	DBE/ Local Participation at the PCM/CMR Level (Max 5 points)	Total Score (Max 100 points)
PCL/ PIRTLE	14	29	29	19	4	95
ANF GROUP WHARTON-SMITH	14	29	29	18	4	94
OHL BUILDING	14	28	28	17	4	91
KL CONSTRUCTION	14	28	29	18	4	93
T&G CONSTRUCTORS	13	28	28	15	5	89

nari Galle Reviewer's Name (Print): Reviewer's Signature:


CITY OF DORAL

RFP No. 2020-06 - Phase 1

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk for Doral Central Park

List of Respondents	History, Organization, & Financial Conditions (Max 15 points)	Qualifications and Staffing Plan (Max 30 points)	Approach and Methodology (Max 30 points)	Past Performance on Similar Projects (Max 20 points)	DBE/ Local Participation at the PCM/CMR Level (Max 5 points)	Total Score (Max 100 points)
PCL/ PIRTLE	14	29	29	19	3	94
ANF GROUP WHARTON-SMITH	15	29	29	19	3	95
OHL BUILDING	14	28	28	16	4	90
KL CONSTRUCTION	14	29	29	19	3	94
T&G CONSTRUCTORS	14	23	29	16	4	91

Carlos Arroyo Cai ang -Reviewer's Name (Print): **Reviewer's Signature:**



CITY OF DORAL

RFP No. 2020-06 - Phase 1

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk for Doral Central Park

List of Respondents	History, Organization, & Financial Conditions (Max 15 points)	Qualifications and Staffing Plan (Max 30 points)	Approach and Methodology (Max 30 points)	Past Performance on Similar Projects (Max 20 points)	DBE/ Local Participation at the PCM/CMR Level (Max 5 points)	Total Score (Max 100 points)
PCL/ PIRTLE	13	27	29	18	3	90
ANF GROUP WHARTON-SMITH	14	29	29	20	5	97
OHL BUILDING	13	25	27	16	ζ	85
KL CONSTRUCTION	14	29	30	19	5	97
T&G CONSTRUCTORS	12	ZÇ	29	18	4	89

Reviewer's Name (Print):	Ergene Collings-Boufill
Reviewer's Signature:	Anter
	PA



CITY OF DORAL

RFP No. 2020-06 - Phase 1

Pre-Construction and Construction Manager at Risk for Doral Central Park

List of Respondents	History, Organization, & Financial Conditions (Max 15 points)	Qualifications and Staffing Plan (Max 30 points)	Approach and Methodology (Max 30 points)	Past Performance on Similar Projects (Max 20 points)	DBE/ Local Participation at the PCM/CMR Level (Max 5 points)	Total Score (Max 100 points)
PCL/ PIRTLE	15	28	30	18	5	96
ANF GROUP WHARTON-SMITH	14	25	30	17	4	90
OHL BUILDING	13	24	28	71	4	86
KL CONSTRUCTION	14	28	30	18	5	95
T&G CONSTRUCTORS	11	23	27	15	5	81

KENE KELAZUD Reviewer's Name (Print): **Reviewer's Signature:**

PRE-CONSTRUCTION AND CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT AT RISK

SERVICES FOR DORAL CENTRAL PARK

	Name	Company	Telephone No.	Email Address	Please indicate: Prime, Sub, SBE
1	Eugene Oven	Lemertec	754-752-3181	EQuere Lemantec. com	Prime
2	EDUARDO S. EGEA.	LEO & DALY	305-3977044.	BORGEA CLEOADALY.COM	
3	ALEX FOR	Per	407 567 8020	afox epcl. com	PRIME
4	ANTHONY STURGESS	PCL	407-460-9288	AJSTURGESS EPCL. COM	PRIMAS.
5	Nikki RAO	PIRTLE	954.328.9520	nrao apir Hecontruction a	~ PRIME
6	JAMES ARMSTRONG	PCL/PIETLE	954-444-3949	JAMEY OPIRTLECONSTRUCTION. COM	PRIME
7	Katring Gonzalez	Waypoint contracting	786-608-1406	Kgonzalez@waypointci.com	SBE
8	Brandy Pleas	Waypoint Contracting	7/408-1406	bpleas @ waypointa. com	SBE
9	PATRICK REBULL	TEG CONSTRUCTORS	305/546-0210	prebulle, t-and-g. COM	PRIME
10	Esic Hynes	Pirtle Construction	786-510-6571	e hynes apirtle Construction. Com	Prime
11	RUBEN BRAVD	HENSEL PHELPS	786.250.1718	rbravo phenselphelps.com	RIME
12	APIEL CABALLERO	BRIGHT VIEW	305.986.2249	AZIEL. CROALLER & BRIGHTVIER. Con	Sug.

PRE-CONSTRUCTION AND CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT AT RISK

SERVICES FOR DORAL CENTRAL PARK

	Name	Company	Telephone No.	Email Address	Please indicate: Prime, Sub, SBE
13	3 RICK HUCKESTEIN	TAG CONSTRUCTORS	305-592-0552	RHUCKESTEIN@T-AND-Gin	PRIME
14	· (MIS Gazitua	<i>u u</i>	ι(LUIS PRAZITUR. COM	۲۵
1	BYAN REITER	KAUFMAN LYNN	Stel-361-6700	reiter akantmanlynn.com	Porme
16	Carlos Lopez	PCL Constructions Serv.	305-720-6031	CALOPEZ@Pal.com	Prime
17	India Scott-Sharoni	OHL Building, Inc.	786-418-3775	India Scott-Sharom@ohlna.com	Print
18	NATALY GUEVARA	THORNTON CONSTRUCTION	305.649.1995	NGUEVARA CTHORNTON - INC.	PRIME
19	ALEX DUART	STONEHENGE CONST, LLC	786-271-1090	advort@shc-us.com	SUB.
20	MANNEZ QUINTANA	STONE HENGE CONSTRUCTION, LU	786-258-4137	mquintana eshc-us.com	SUB
21	Petrick Goreace	Wharton-South	561-601-3050	Pigaria Whaton-Smith	Sub
22	Steve Laurila	POL Pirtle	407.433.0002	Slauvilg @ Pcl. Com	prime
23	NESTOR CASTEllON	Link Construction Group	305-665-9820	Newstellow Q Link Construction group. Net	Prime.
24	JOHN LYONS	WHARTON SMITH	A07.321.8410	comeste whatensmith. com	5

PRE-CONSTRUCTION AND CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT AT RISK

SERVICES FOR DORAL CENTRAL PARK

	Name	Company	Telephone No.	Email Address	Please indicate: Prime, Sub, SBE
25	GERRY KELY	WHARTON - SMITH INC	561-748-5956	gkelly @ wharton smith, com	PRIME
26	AJ Meyer	ANF Group	3/877-6776	ameyer@anfgroup.com	Prime
27	CHEIS BACALLAO	BCIE	3/796-2805	CHRIS & BEONEN. COM	Sub/SBE
28	EDDIE MARTINEZ	MCM / FALCON 6	786.236.2065	EDDIE @ MCM-US.COM	PRIME SUB
29	JULIO AMDEDO	CITY & Donar	786 277 0918	JULIO. AMDEDO @ CITY OF DOALL. Pun	PWD.
30	Bandra Tique	SAGUZ	305 690 1895	Stique OSacyp. Com	Prince
31	William Wood	LEAD	305-801-5842	wwood c lead - ec .com	Pame
32	Graham Smith	LEAD	305-310-5899	GSMITH@LEAD-EC.com	Prime /SBE
33	APilyng Pereiron	Intertek- PSI	786-312-0745	APilyng. Pereira@intertek.com	n SJb
34	Rugs HitCHINGS	Services ENC	305-856-3919	BHITCHERSC CRITICAL PUT	Prime
35	Josie C. Diaz	OHL Building	1864183374	IOSIR. DIGZDONI NG. CM	1 Hame
36	Jeff Zalkin	KL Construction.	305/537-4735	John Brankin @kaufman lynn. com	Prime

PRE-CONSTRUCTION AND CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT AT RISK

SERVICES FOR DORAL CENTRAL PARK

	Name	Company	Telephone No.	Email Address	Please indicate: Prime, Sub, SBE
37	Kathia Green	RT Heisenbottle Architeck	305-446·1199 x(22	Kypene tha. net	
38	Jennifer Jaikissoon	Brightview Landscapes	305-213-1964	jennifer. jaikissoon @ bugutuew com	SUB
39	Abby Blake	Kaufman Lynn Construction	on 305-407-5333	ablake@Kayfmanlynn.com	Prime
40	EDUARDO MARTINEZ	FALCON G	786.236.2065	EMARTINEZ & FALCON 6 CORP.C.	om SUB
41	NEONOR L.FLORES	Kaufman Lynn Lorstrut	m 305.407.5333	Lflore SQ kaufmanlynn.com	Primp.
42		J			
43					
44					
45					
46					
47	,				

















This is not just ONE PARK but a collection of SEVERAL PARKS



FOCUS ON <u>EACH</u> COMPONENT

maximizing function & durability



LOCAL PARTNER EXPERTS

Team Overview

- Qualifications and staffing plan
- Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships
- Past Performance on similar projects

Tailored Solutions For Doral

- Quality Control/Quality Assurance
 Constructability/Design Review Process
- Cost Management/Tracking/ Buyout
- Approach and methodology







LOCAL PARTNER EXPERTS

Only team presenting that is 100% local!

ONLY TEAM PRESENTING









LOCAL TEAM HAS BUILT <u>LARGE SOUTH FLORIDA</u> PARKS

COMMITMENT



Taking Ownership

Responsive

Single-Point Accountability

PROVIDING SOLUTIONS AND DELIVERING ON PROMISES



South Florida based large construction manager that **specializes in Municipal Construction including parks**.









Over \$400m in 2019 and regularly builds projects this size LOCALLY

\$407.58 million

Kaufman Lynn Construction 3185 S. Congress Ave.

6

South Florida's Largest General Contractors & Construction Companies

Ranked by 2019 S. Fla. gross billings

Rank	Company / Prior (*Not Ranked In 2019) / URL	2019 S. Fla. Gross Billings ²	2019 Contracts Awarded	2019 Contracts Completed	No. Of S. Fla. Employees	S. Fla. Offices
1	Coastal Construction Group 5959 Blue Lagoon Drive Suite 200 Miami, FL 33126 305-559-4900 coastalconstruction.com	\$724.42 million	\$821.41 million	\$876.34 million	341	2
2	Suffolk 2 S. Biscayne Blvd. Suite 2700 Miami, FL 33131 305-374-1107 suffolk.com	\$669.30 million	\$533.54 million	\$157.54 million	239	2
3	Balfour Beatty US 7901 S.W. Sixth Court Suite 200 Plantation, FL 33324 954-585-4000 balfourbeattyus.com	\$552.00 million	\$525.00 million	\$505.00 million	267	2
4	Kast Construction 701 Northpoint Parkway Suite 400 West Palm Beach, FL 33407 561-689-2910 kastbuild.com	\$518.14 million	\$514.60 million	\$482.00 million	221	2
5	Moss 2101 N. Andrews Ave. Fort Lauderdale, FL 33311 954-524-5678 mosscm.com	\$485.41 million	\$712.65 million	\$863.46 million	377	3
6	Kaufman Lynn Construction 3185 S. Congress Ave. Delray Beach, FL 33445 561-361-6700	\$407.58 million	\$520.68 million	\$298.22 million	147	3

Only \$97m in 2019 and never built a public project this large.









South Florida based firm that **specializes in parks and aquatic facilities**.

More pool experience of all types than any other firm in Florida













KAUFMAN LYNN CONSTRUCTION





So much AQUATIC EXPERIENCE we could take this hour just sharing related projects.

COMPETITION POOLS

Pool Renovation

Victory Park Aquatic Facility

South Broward High School

Aquatic Facility





Repovation

Campus Competition Pool

Miami Dade College North

Aquatic Facility/Homeland

Training Facility



Princess Ann YMCA Myrtha Pool

Tupelo Aquatic Center Competition

Plantation Aquatic Facility

Pool





trapeland Heights Park, Ph 3



Little Main Park Splash Deck Pinecrest Spinsh Deck

Lakewood Park Aquatic Facility









Coral Springs Aquatic Facility









Wellington Aquatic Facility

Driftwood Park Aquatic Facility

WATER PARKS & SPLASH DECKS







PROVEN TEAMWORK







RDC Design/Build

LEAD is an Miami-Dade SBE/DBE Firm



Miami based infrastructure construction firm specializing in large sites.





PROVEN TEAMWORK

CURRENTLY BUILDING GOLDEN GLADES MULTI-MODAL CENTER TOGETHER





PROVEN TEAMWORK

7 PARKS TOGETHER

CURRENTLY BUILDING 2 PARKS TOGETHER





RDC and BA built three parks for Doral! (design-build)

THE LOCAL EXPERTS



WHAT OUR CLIENTS SAY



"I have personal experience with five other general contractors and NO ONE can match the high level of competency and professionalism of Kaufman Lynn."

Thomas Wood, CFO City of Boca Raton

Boca Raton

"Kaufman Lynn is the top firm because they constantly *deliver on their* promises and are a TRUE PARTNER to the City.

Kaufman Lynn worked *proactively with the design team to resolve issues and identify the right SOLUTIONS* to enable the projects to be built on-time and within budget."

Vernon Hargray, City Manager City of Miramar



"It was KL that helped make *critical decisions early in preconstruction that saved time*. *Teamwork and partnership* are two words I would use to describe the KL team."

Antonio Tomei, Capital Projects Manager City of Aventura



"As the largest project in our recent General Obligation Bond, your team set the bar for how CM at Risk projects should be delivered: through partnership, teamwork and exceptional execution."

Hallandale Rea

Gregg Harris, Manager of Capital Project City of Hallandale Beach



OVERALL LEADERSHIP



HANDS ON COMMITMENT



Leonor Flores PROJECT EXECUTIVE

Doral is so **IMPORTANT** to us that Leonor will locate out of City Hall once selected.



Just Built A Recreational Center! RECREATIONAL CENTER



Jim Davis Superintendent











AQUATIC EXPERTS

Subject Matter Experts



Francisco Lopez Superintendent



LARGE SCALE CIVIL EXPERTS

Subject Matter Experts



PRECONSTRUCTION SUPPORT



THE LOCAL EXPERTS



NEVER LATE OR OVER BUDGET!

Spend this hour showing what our experience will do <u>for Doral</u>!
LOCAL PARTNER EXPERTS

Team Overview

Qualifications and staffing plan Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships Past Performance on similar projects

Tailored Solutions For Doral

Quality Control/Quality Assurance Constructability/Design Review Process Cost Management/Tracking/ Buyout Approach and methodology

Not generic processes but

SPECIFIC APPROACH to Doral Central Park

Online Live camera feed, pictures, daily activity logs, scheduling, and cost reporting





DETAILS matter

DETAILS make the difference

Our **EXPERIENCE** with materials/methods makes a **DIFFERENCE**



VR **does not replace** seeing and touching actual materials!

Virtual Reality Examples





Our EXPERIENCE with

materials/me

Virtual Reality Examples

a **DIFFERE** -SEE VR does not r - States and touching a

DETAILS matter



All major components:

- First cost
- Long-term & operating cost
- Material Attributes

FOCUS ON <u>EACH</u> COMPONENT

maximizing function & durability



WE DID OUR HOMEWORK

Observed current site conditions

- Drainage Issues
- Site Elevation Issues

- Reviewed previous drawings and site data
 - To get accurate data for analysis

Performed extensive analysis to save Doral time and money



ANALYSIS OF THE EXISTING DOCUMENTATION



EXISTING LAKE ANALYSIS.

Total Lake Area :586,440 SF

Previously Dredged Area: Greater than el -20.0: 211,100 SF.



Potential Dredge Area: Varies, from el -5.0 to el -20.0: 375,340 SF

LAKE BATHYMETRIC SURVEY

CROSS SECTION TROUGH NORTH SIDE OF CANAL



Existing Elevation of Perimeter Streets

- NW 87th Avenue 4.3 ft. (Field Measured)
- NW 92nd Avenue 4.3 ft (Field Measured)

Elevations within the site vary:

- High Elevation 9 ft.
- Lowest Elevation 1 ft.
- Average Elevation is 6.65 ft

Lake current depth:

- Lake deepest elevation at center -25 ft.
- Lake was previously excavated but there are areas with a potential additional excavation depth up to +/-15 ft.



CROSS SECTION TROUGH THE MIDDLE OF THE EXISTING LAKE



Existing Elevation of Perimeter Streets

- NW 87th Avenue 4.3 ft. (Field Measured)
- NW 92nd Avenue 4.3 ft (Field Measured)

Elevations within the site vary:

- High Elevation 9 ft.
- Lowest Elevation 1 ft.
- Average Elevation is 6.65 ft

Lake current depth:

- Lake deepest elevation at center -25 ft.
- Lake was previously excavated but there are areas with a potential additional excavation depth up to +/-15 ft.



PROPOSED FINISH FLOOR ELEVATIONS

- AQUATIC CENTER: 7 FT.
- COMMUNITY CENTER : 7 FT.
- FIELDS: 5.5 FT.
- PARKING AREAS: 5.3 FT

Based on these Elevations:

- The Project Will need 240,700 cubic yards.
- 135,000 CY can come from Lake Excavation
- Total Import Material: 105,700 cubic yards

How can we measure this?

- 15 Cubic Yards per truck
- 9,000 truck loads
- We will sequence the work so we can install a combined 2,400 cy per day using onsite and offsite trucking



LAKE EXCAVATION



How much material is this?

- Assuming 3 ft of scour present and available canal areas for dredging being at -5.5 ft.
- Propose excavation of additional +/- 15 ft.
- Assume 65% of this material is suitable
- TOTAL EXPECTING SUITABLE MATERIAL FROM LAKE EXCAVATION: 135,000 CY
- 9,000 trucks.



LAKE MATERIAL ANALYSIS AND BENEFITS

EXPECTED SITE EXCAVATION SAVINGS

- The material proceeding from the lake excavation accounts for **56% of the total** Project embankment material. The KL team will sequence the construction operations in a way that the embankment needed for the various building pads is **constructed concurrently** with the lake excavation operations.
- Excavation from the lake will employ the use of a rock processor and a sieve, plus hourly trucking. Since the hauling distance from the lake to the proposed building pads is so close, we can estimate loading and unloading more than **20 trucks (300 CY) per hour.**
- The Entire lake excavation, including transporting the material from the lake to the pads may take less than **47 days**, using two machines compared to hauling material from the quarry. Material from the quarry will necessarily use the Turnpike as hauling route. This will limit the capacity to a maximum of 12 trucks per hour, during nonpeak hours and less than 9 trucks an hour during peak hours, resulting in an overall duration **beyond 78 days**.
- The hourly rate for hauling is between \$60 and \$70 per hr. The production planned for this operation is 300 CY per hour; therefore hauling from the lake will cost approx. \$0.29/CY. The hauling rate per CY from the nearby quarry is \$3/CY. The overall savings only per each operation is shown below.

TOTAL SAVINGS FROM USING LAKE MATERIAL (135,000 CY)

- QUARRY COSTS:
 - TRUCKING FEES @ \$3/CY: \$405,000.
 - MATERIAL COST @4/CY (QUARRY COST) = \$540,000
 - TOTAL COST FROM QUARRY: \$945,000
- LAKE MINING COSTS:
 - COST OF PRODUCING MATERIAL ON SITE (INCLUDING ROCK PROCESSOR COST) @ \$2.05/CY= \$276,250
 - TRUCKING FEES @\$0.29/CY=\$40,000.
 - TOTAL COST FROM LAKE: \$315,400

TOTAL NET SAVINGS : **\$629,600** TOTAL TIME SAVINGS: TWO MONTHS



6.7 MONTHS OF SITE PREP

1. SELECTIVE CLEARING AND GRUBBING: **1.2 MONTHS**

2. EXCAVATION AND EMBANKMENT: 3.5 MONTHS

3. UNDERGROUND UTILITIES : **3.0 MONTHS** (CONCURRENT WITH ITEM 2 ABOVE)

4. FINE GRADING: 2 MONTHS



SITE ELEVATION – CUT AND FILL ANALYSIS TOOLS



Example from current construction of 53 Acre park

SEAWALL CONSTRUCTION

Concrete cap reinforcement to prevent future cracking

Selecting the proper panel material for longevity

- Aluminum
- Plastic
- Concrete





FOCUS ON <u>EACH</u> COMPONENT

maximizing function & durability



INDOOR RECREATION CENTER



STRUCTURAL COST ANALYSIS



CMU

- + Cost effective for smaller facilities.- Requires more manpower and
- Requires more manpower and time to build.

Increased maintenance to maintain caulk joints.



GLASS OPTION: COST ANALYSIS



\$50/SF





Spider Glass System \$85/SF

SELF TINTING GLASS



18% ENERGY SAVINGS



SELF TINTING GLASS







WINDOW SYSTEM

Improve window to slab **detail** to eliminate water intrusion potential



QUALITY CONTROL









- Tablet-based Program
- 3rd Party Review of Submittals
- Watertight Roofs, Windows
- High Indoor Air Quality
- Low Maintenance Cost
- Longer Building Life
- Extended Warranties

QUALITY CONTROL

	uilder: Kaufman Lynn Construction	Co.	Checkpoint Standard: Sealant is properly tooled in place	Checkpoint Standard: Sealant is property tooled in place	
CD Pr	oject: Peter Bluesten Prk			Photo Taken By: Tim O'Connor Photo Taken On. 6/6/2019	Photo Taken By: Tim O'Connor Photo Taken On: 6/6/2019
Perfecting the Art and Science of Quality in Construction"	oject Final Report			Answer. AT Caption:	Answer. AT Caption:
				Checkpoint Standard: No voids or fish- mouths are observed at membrane	Checkpoint Standard: No voids or fish- mouths are observed at seams
First Inspection:	11/29/2018	Last Inspection:	9/30/2019	Photo Taken By: Jorge Ibacache Photo Taken On: 4/26/2019	Photo Taken By: Jorge Ibacache Photo Taken On: 4/25/2019
Name of Inspector(s):	Bobby Roysden, Jorge Ibacache, Tim Becker			Armer. Al	Actswer, Al
Open Items Identified:	73			Capton:	Caption.
Items corrected:	73	Modules/Units Inspected:	7	Checkpoint Standard: No volds or fish- mouths are observed.	Checkpoint Standard: Components around the concrete panels allow an engineered caulk joint to be installed.
Photos Taken:	312			Photo Taken Dy. Jorge Ibacache Photo Taken On: 4/26/2019 Answer: AT	Photo Taken By: Jorge Ibacache Photo Taken On: 3/27/2019
				Continu	Answer AT

We proactively inspect to catch and correct even minor items

Comprehensive documentation: 73 pages of specific details

	property indica in place
oto Taken By: Tim O'Connor	Photo Taken By: Tim O'Connor
olo Taken On. 56/2019	Photo Taken On: 0922019
	Amount AT
see. al	Parswell, Al
pton:	Capton:
eckpoint Standard: No voids or fish-	Checkpoint Standard: No voids or fish-
cin Taken Bur Jerne Baracha	Dista Takan Bir, Jana Baratha
du taken ky. Judge balakne	Thinks taken by Jorge bacache
oto Taken Ori: 4/25/2019	Photo faken On: 4/25/2019
swer. Al	Adswer: Al
ption:	Caption:
eckpoint Standard: No volds or fish-	Checkpoint Standard: Components
Autos are observed.	engineered caulk joint to be installed.
oto Taken By, Jorge (bacache	Photo Taken By: Jorge Ibacache
oto Taken On: 4/26/2019	Photo Taken On: 3/27/2019
swer AT	Arswer AT
ption	Canton
	Capiton.
eckpoint Standard: Components ound the window assembly allow an	Checkpoint Standard: Components
gneered caulk joint to be installed.	engineered caulk joint to be installed.
oto Taken By: Jorge Ibacache	Photo Taken By: Jorge Ibacache
oto Taken On: 3/27/2019	Photo Taken On: 3/27/2019
Sect AT	Arswer, Al
uption:	Caption
eckpoint Standard: Components aund the window assembly allow an	Checkpoint Standard, Secondary overflow drains are installed 2 inches
alant joint to be installed.	above the primary drain and are not
oto Taken Dy. Jorge Ibacache	Taking 2,250 ID IDE IDAD DAY DIAM
oto Taken On: 3/27/2019	Photo Faken By: Tim Becker
swer AT	Photo Taken On: 1/15/2019
pfon:	Answer. A
	Caption:

Email: customerservice@gualitybuilt.con

Page 4 of 73

Report Time: 2/24/2020 3:42:31 PM

401 SE 12th St, Suite 200, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33316 Phone: 800.547.5125

@ 2020 Quality Built, LLC. All Rights Reserved.

INDOOR RECREATION CENTER

70,000 SF Facility













We Know Gymnasiums









All about the flooring



PREPARATION IS KEY to ensure stability for years to come



Remove the moisture and **VERIFY** before installation





Pick system early in preconstruction to ensure a **SEAMLESS** transition







SEE, FEEL & TOUCH ITEM

Pick system e preconstructi ensure a **SEA** transition





Picking the right system for INFORMATION DISPLAY AND EASE OF CONTROL

What level of information to be displayed?



MATCH

SCORE

SCORE







Physical control or app preference?



21

-

Picking the rig for INFORMA **DISPLAY ANI OF CONTROL**




CREATIVE USE OF SPACE

Major Benefit Storage space located above usable spaces



LOCKER ROOMS



LOCKER ROOMS

Controlling Moisture

- Air Flow for Dampness Control
- Material Choices
- Floor Slope



CIRCULATION SPACES



DURABILITY

PROACTIVELY bring options to ensure longevity



ELEVATED RUNNING TRACK



ELEVATED RUNNING TRACK

KEY DECISION:

How it is to attach to the structural system of the building





Lower Cost: Metal

RAILING OPTIONS

Medium Cost: Drywall



High Cost: Glass



ELEVATED RUNNING TRACK



Providing information for cost and durability







PROTRAXX

TRUSPORT

POWERDEK STONE





SEE, FEEL & TOUCH ITEM

PROTRAXX

TRUSPORT

POWERDEK STONE

MULTI-PURPOSE ROOMS



ACOUSTICS

Material Choices & Paneling



ACOUSTICS





CONSTRUCTION TECHNIQUES

FLEXIBILITY

Conventional Partition



Skyfold Partition



FLEXIBILITY – SKYFOLD PARTITION





FITNESS/WEIGHT ROOM



ISOLATION is vital due to location of the fitness/weight room





FOCUS ON <u>EACH</u> COMPONENT

maximizing function & durability



TYPES OF TENSILE MEMBRANE STRUCTURES

















TYPES OF TENSILE MEMBRANE STRUCTURES

SEE, FEEL & TOUCH ITEM

RD

Archway

Tend to be limited to coverings and ha good acoustics

FOUNDATIONS



Auger Cast Piling

Right solution based on site soils

Foundation, Lighting, Drainage

Get it right from the beginning

WORK PROACTIVELY WITH STRUCTURAL ENGINEER

RIGGING SYSTEM – LIGHTING/SOUND



Sound and lights are attached to the structure

Sound and lights are attached to stage system separate from tensile structure

BRINGING OPERATIONAL EXPERIENCE FROM OTHER FACILITIES

FOCUS ON <u>EACH</u> COMPONENT

maximizing function & durability



SPORT FIELDS

Drainage is **KEY**



DRAINAGE INFRASTRUCTURE



SLOPING OF FIELDS

Baseball fields: 0.5% for the infield, 0.5% to 1.5% for the skinned area, and 1.0% to 2.0% for the outfield. **Soccer/Multi-purpose fields:** Built with a minimum of 0.5 % slope and up to 1% slope with drainage system.

FINAL SLOPE DEPENDS ON SOIL MAKE UP AND PERCOLATION





MULTI-PURPOSE FIELD

Define primary use and then **OPTIMIZE** for that use.

- Field Size
- Field slope and drainage for specific uses
- Support elements (bleachers, dugouts, etc)



PLAYING SURFACE – NATURAL TURF

Topsoil

- Typically specified from North Florida or South Georgia (huge cost to procure and truck in)
- KL uses LOCAL Procurement and on-site mixing (fully tested and <u>verified</u> specifications)
- Allow 2 months for grass to properly root and grow before initial use

SAVING DORAL MONEY

Saved the City of Boca Raton **\$2M** using this method



Proper Timing

- Sod Procurement (May-July optimal)
- Plant in growing season (May-July optimal)
- Proper time to take root (2-3 Months)



SAVING MONEY AND OPTIMIZING TIMING

Our expertise ensures a field that **PERFORMS** and **LASTS**.



PLAYING SURFACE – ARTIFICIAL TURF

Our expertise field that PER and LASTS.



SIDEWALK, BIKE AND RUNNING LANES

Bringing Doral material **OPTIONS** and best construction practices.



SIDEWALK, BIKE AND RUNNING LANES

Best practice is to INCLUDE drainage along lanes.



Rubber Medium

Asphalt LOW

Concrete Medium

VOLLEYBALL COURT DRAINAGE



CHILDREN'S PLAYGROUND



Mulch	Rubber	Artificial Grass
Lowest First Cost Highest Maintenance	Medium First Cost Medium Maintenance	Highest First Cost Lowest Maintenance
Cost	Cost	Cost
Not Recommended	Recommended	Recommended

Enhanced **QUALITY** & **LONGEVITY**: Concrete band at edge



CHILDREN'S PLAYGROUND

Shading: Equipment or Ground System



Key Consideration is Removing Shading For A Storm Event
THE KL DIFFERENCE

In all aspects we will bring options, lessons learned and information for Doral to make decisions.

Enhancement option: Park storage built into dugout



FOCUS ON EACH COMPONENT

maximizing function & durability



WETLANDS CONSTRUCTION

Access System

Swamp and Marsh Crane Mats

The human footprint leaves an impact of approximately 7 psi (pounds per square inch), while the Wetlands Access System leaves an **impact of less than 3.5 psi**!



Accessing Wetlands and Leaving **MINIMAL IMPACT**

WETLANDS CONSTRUCTION

Sediment Control

Erosion Protection

Site Restoration



MATERIAL SELECTION

THE



Wood options

Synthetic Wood options



MATERIAL SELECTION





options



FOCUS ON <u>EACH</u> COMPONENT

maximizing function & durability



DORAL CENTRAL PARK

every person, every season, every time!



Aquatic Facility Concept

Aquatic Facility

- A. Competition pool
- B. Teaching pool
- C. Activity pool w/ zero-depth entry and play features
- D. Lazy river
- E. Water slides
- F. Training building w/ offices, locker rooms, a pro-shop, and storage
- G. Multi-purpose rooms
- H. Pool equipment building
- . Toddler splash play area
- J. Shaded spectator seating
- K. Waterfront promenade
- L. Flex deck space
- M. Large shade pavilions

BROWNING DAY MULLINS

POOL CONSTRUCTION OPTIONS

Concrete

- Lowest cost
- Highest long-terms cost
- Short Warranty (1 year)

Concrete w/ Stainless Steel Gutter

- Higher accuracy for competition
- Short Warranty (1 year)

Stainless Steel

- Highest accuracy of all systems
- Lowest long-term cost



MOST EXPERIENCE WITH ALL TYPES OF POOLS AND POOL MANUFACTURERS

STAINLESS STEEL CONSTRUCTION





"RDC joined us for our *very first project in the United States and has been a partner of ours for over 30 years*. Myrtha is the industry leader in aquatics and most visibly with the US Olympic team."

Roberto Colletto, Myrtha Pools CEO





COMPETITION POOL WITH INTEGRATED DIVE WELL

Size consideration: 25m x 60m with 2 movable bulk heads Dive Well location: Diver facing North / Movable Bulkhead Depth:

- Pool: 2 Meters deep pitching to Dive well
- Dive well 12' to 13' (depending on dive program)



Kaufman Lynn & RDC Offers Design Assist

COMPETITION POOL

Moveable Bulkhead option

Allow for multiple activities at the same time

- Short course competition
- Water polo



Larger pool w/ center dive well. (bulkhead **not** possible)





Larger pool with movable bulkhead provides greatest flexibility

TEACHING POOL

Learn to Swim

- Water Aerobics
- Wellness/ Physical Therapy
- Lap Swimming

Sports Pool, Volleyball, Netball

- o Depth
- Controlled Water tempter
- \circ Filtration



ACTIVITY POOL WITH PLAY FEATURES AND LAZY RIVER

KEY ISSUES:

Properly sized Placement of equipment Filtration

Don't forget the FUN!









WATER SLIDES

Slide height

- Target age
- Cost
- Size of foundation

Theming

• First and Long-term cost considerations

Size of equipment

• Land area

Management

Lifeguard location

Operations

• Water filtration



POOL EQUIPMENT: Filtration & Circulation Equipment

FILTER FEATURES & BENEFITS

COVID-19 solutions

Chlorine, Ozone & Ultraviolet all kill the corona virus

Filtration that runs dramatically Longer Reducing Water, Chemical & Energy

Drinking quality Water Quality and the Ability To Handle Heavy Bather Loads



AQUATIC COMPLEX: Filtration & Circulation Equipment

Pool Heating and Cooling

- Competitive Pool heated with Geothermal Heat Pump to Heat & Cool pool using ground water.
 Saves thousands of dollars a year.
- Learn-to-Swim Pool provided with a gas heater to maintain temperature between 86-90 degrees Fahrenheit
- Pool Covers have highest insulation values .





TODDLER SPLASH PLAY AREA

Target age Group Equipment Selection Filtration/Ozone Parent interaction

Site furnishings & Shade





SHARED SPECTATOR SEATING



WATERFRONT PROMENADE, FLEX DECK, LARGE PAVILION

Material Selections

Shade

Gathering place

Site furnishings

Theming: color, logo



CONSTRUABILITY REVIEWS: Design Assist

Vast Experience with Aquatic Facilities

- Structures, Vessels, Techniques,
- Filter, Sanitation & Pump Systems
- Detailed review of drawings at every submittal to avoid conflicts

Vast Experience with Public Facilities

- Local Knowledge
- Understands Constructions Techniques



HISTORY OF WORKING TOGETHER: Design Assist



PROVEN TEAMWORK

Recreational Design & Construction

Counsilman-Hunsaker and RDC have worked together on numerous projects. Recently *completing two project in the past year!*

RDC is only one of 5 commercial pool contractors in the USA that is listed on their approved contractors list.



CONSTRUCTABILITY REVIEWS: Design Assist



This is **YOUR POOL** modeled out with a moveable bulkhead. **ONLY OUR TEAM WILL ENHANCE THE DESIGNERS ABILITY**

MAKING A COHESIVE PARK



TECHNOLOGY BACKBONE

KEY INFRASTRUCTURE COMPONENT

Fiber Optic or Cat 5 path around the lake to unify all elements



Parks have ever increasing demand for outdoor WIFI

Electronic signage, score boards, wifi, buildings all must be part of a unified technology backbone



DETAILS matter

A COMPLETE APPROACH ENHANCED facilities with REDUCED cost today and tomorrow



All major components:

- First cost
- Long-term & operating cost
- Easier maintenance

BUDGET

PRECONSTRUCTION SUPPORT

Largest team in SOUTH FLORIDA



Individual focus on each trade

ADDITIONAL PERSONNEL THAN NAMES SUBMITTED Included in Preconstruction Fee

OPERATING COST ANALYSIS

Is it worth it having a Central Energy Plant?

Improves efficiency, flexibility and allows for future additions

Recreation Center = 70,000 SF = 400 tons

Additional Buildings ~ 20,000 SF = 100 tons

Total need = 500 tons

Recommend two 300 ton chillers units for 600 tons total

Payback in 7 – 10 years

SAMPLE DORAL ITEMS

Central Energy Plant vs individual park systems

Plantings – plant water tolerant items (more up-front cost but saves on watering and maintenance.)

LED Field & Pedestrian Lighting

Solar Panels – can be used for shade and generate power. *FPL is doing pedestrian shade structures.*

Synthetic turf vs natural sod (Saving on irrigation and maintenance)

Myrtha Pool Stainless Steel w/ 20 year warranty

CONSTRUCTABILITY ANALYSIS



Wellington Community HS Park

CONSTRUCTABILITY REVIEW

Overview:

The drawings being review for constructability are dated 11/5/2019 and are 60% construction drawings.

Constructability Review:

Civil Drawings

- Drawing C 100, calls out the new home run fencing, yet no drawing or details have been provided.
- Drawing C-130 shows an existing curb inlet to be changed to a manhole with a new curb inlet placed where the curb has been relocated too. No elevation for the inlets and pipes has been provided.
- 3. Drawing C-131, needs drainage completed with inverts and elevations.
- Drawing C-131 calls out for vehicular longitudinal channeling devises, however there is no detail for this work.
- 5. Speed table see details? C-131
- Drawing C-132, need overall drainage completed for this sheet. Inlets and piping shown with no call outs or details have been provided. The F sheets do clarify some of the items but notall.
- 7. Drawing C 133 need complete drainage information with rim and invert elevations.
- 8. Drawings C-133, clarify what is existing and what needs to be installed.
- 9. Drawing C-133, no drainage coming from maintenance building.
- 10. Drawing C-134 need complete drainage information with rim and invertelevations.
- 11. Drawing C 135 need complete drainage information with rim and invertelevations.
- 12. Batting Cages need clarified what size cage goes where.
- Drawing C-136, Existing track to be resurfaced, clear detail of what is needed to achieve this must be provided.
- 14. Drawing C-400, What surface is the fenced area around the maintenance building,

Field Drawings

1. In general, the field drawing appears to be sufficient for pricing and ordering fields at this time.

Schematic Drawings Stage

Bring **options** and talk through system/amenity preferences. Determine key systems such as structural, etc.

Design Drawings Stage

Formal plan review and report catching issues before a redesign must occur.

Construction Drawings Stage Analyze drawings that **details were corrected** and review for new items

Sample Report included in our proposal demonstrating our commitment!

PRELIMINARY BUDGET DETAILS

Analyzed Each Component

Until details are determined pricing can be a range which we will PROACTIVELY MANAGE.

The Same	
Contraction of the second s	Aquatic Facility
	A Competition pool
	B. Teaching pool
	C. Activity pool w/ zero-depth entry
	and play features
	D. Lazy river
	E. Water slides
	F. Training building w/ offices, locker
	rooms, a pro-shop, and storage
	G. Multi-purpose rooms
	H. Pool equipment building
	. Toddler splash play area
	J. Shaded spectator seating
	K. Waterfront promenade
	L. Flex deck space
	M. Large shade pavilions
quatic Facility Concept	BROWNING

NO.	ITEM	DESCRIPTION			Budget (Good)		Budget (Best)
A	Competition Pool	60m x 25m competition pool with integrated dive well, 2-1meter boards and 2- 3meter boards. Depth from 2meters to 4meters, 2 flooded suction pumps , High rate sand filters w/ liquid chlorine and acid feeder. Includes LED Sports lighting Collector tank and automated controller, LED sports lighting		\$	4,654,000.00		\$ 4,850,000.00
в	Teaching Pool	25y x 25y 5625 sf teaching pool with ADA ramp access		\$	905,000.00		\$ 1,210,000.00
с	Activity pool Play features and Lazy river	9,030 sf w/Zero depth Entry & Lazy river		\$	1,754,000.00		\$ 2,250,000.00
E	Water Slides & Landing pool	816 sf 36' x 26' including 2 waterslides		\$	956,000.00		\$ 1,450,000.00
F	Training building w/ offices, locker room, Pro-shop, & storage	11,150 sf CMU structure, bar joist light weight roof deck, 3ply roof. Men's and woman's locker rooms, pro-shop, check-in, concessions, multi-purpose rooms & storage		\$	2,250,000.00		\$ 2,608,000.00
н	Pool equipment building	4500 sf CMU structure, concrete roof deck, 3ply roof. Housing all filtration, sanitation treatment systems and chemical storage.		\$	810,000.00		\$ 1,100,000.00
I	Toddler splash play pool area	1070 sf 45 x 12' Included interactive play features		\$	356,000.00		\$ 526,000.00
L	Shared spectator seating	permanent seating for 200 with shade covering		\$	475,000.00		\$ 762,000.00
к	Waterfront Promenade	Seawall construction, backfill and lake dredge by others		\$	67,000.00		\$ 75,000.00
L	Flex deck space	50,000 sf of light broom finish concrete as pool deck		\$	427,000.00		\$ 842,000.00
м	Large shade pavilions	2500 sf steel structure, with exposed wood decking, standing seam roof, 200 amp electrical service. Ceiling fans, water service.		\$	275,000.00		\$ 487,000.00
6	Site Improvements						
6.1	clear and grub, pool dig balance site	utilities beaught to the buildings	Н		by others	+	by others
6.2	Otinities, water sanatory, Power	utilities prought to the buildings Area drains and linear deck drained included. Within site, outfall into Jako	H		by others	+	by others
6.4	Landscape and irrigation	area aranis ana mear deck dramed meldded, within site, odtiali into lake	H	Ś	150.000.00	┥	\$ 250,000.00
	Parking		H	-	NIC		NIC
6.6	Fencing			\$	92,000.00		\$ 165,000.00
6.7	additional hard scape		Ц	ć	NIC	4	NIC
6.10	one ngnung Covered/shade	Future covered units / awing option	H	ş	NIC	+	\$ 200,000.00 \$ 100,000.00
6.11	Portable Bleachers	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	H		NIC	┥	NIC
6.12	Umbrellas / Outside dining areas	Allowance	H	Ş	55,000.00		\$ 75,000.00
6.13	Sports Lighting	LED sports lighting	П		Inc		Inc
6.14	Site furnishings	benches, trach receptables, picnic tables allowance	Η	Ş	150,000.00	+	ş 190,000.00
			H			+	
			H			┥	
Doral	Central Park , Aquatica Price Range: \$13.5, million	on the low end to \$17,1 on the high end	Ц	\$	13,476,000.00		\$ 17,140,000.00

This is not just **ONE PARK** but a collection of **SEVERAL PARKS**





RYAN REITER DIRECTOR OF GOVERNMENT RELATIONS

\$3.2M Grants for Doral



KL got \$6.7M for recent municipalities in last 18 months



ADDED VALUE

ADDED VALUE










ADDED VALUE



Interactive Construction Documentation



Scan of Rec Center: NO CHARGE!

BUDGET SAVINGS – ONLY WITH KL!

Using fill from lake Import savings ~ \$629,600 savings

Fields and amphitheater lawn topsoil Local sourcing ~ \$1- \$3 million savings

Menu of material selection (High – Medium – Low) **Tailored facilities for Doral ~ \$1 - \$2 million savings**

Facilities maintenance model Laser/optical scan ~ \$70,000 savings

5 months early completion General Conditions Savings ~ \$205,405 savings

Grant Money Our connections bring money ~ \$3.2M savings **TOTAL SAVINGS**

Without altering scope or quality

\$6 million \$9.5 million

BUDGET CONTROL

Define Details – Menu of **options** with pricing

(initial and long term)

Initial Budget

selected options &

Reflective of

materials

Constructability Analysis Detailed report and feedback on initial drawings

Refine Budget

As Drawings progress from SDs, DDs, CDs, GMP.

Continued feedback and analysis.

Monthly Accounting

Analysis of **projected vs actual** to ensure cost control and schedule management





We will be located in City Hall and will coordinate in person as a whole team. ONLY TEAM THAT IS 100% LOCAL!



SCHEDULE

ORIGINAL SCHEDULE

KEY ISSUE Wetlands permitting (DERM, WASA, SFWMD) timeline will not be in our control.

KL FOCUS Reduce the schedule, price, and turn over components early

LAKE A 8811 110 20. 1 100 berte a grante a sifterin in the ALC: N A MICH

DESIGN DURATION April 2020 – July 2021 (15 Months) SITE PACKAGE Oct 2020 – Sep 2021 (12 Months)

AMPHITHEATER Jan 2021 – Dec 2021 (12 Months)

FIELDS/NATURE April 2021 – July 2022 (15 Months)

WE CREATED OUR **OWN** REVIT MODEL



Indoor Recreation Center





Our ability to model the park allows us to evaluate different phasing plans



KEY ITEM Fields are less complicated to design and can be built with amphitheater Construction Main Construction parking, Entrance to Temp road is same position as final road Trailers at entrance for security control Special Fence Screening along 87th Ave MERICIA Jan July 2023 May Jan Jan 2023 2020 2021 2022

Site mobilized and prepped through ball fields

KEY ITEM

Amphitheater and Fields are complete while construction is ongoing on all other components.



.....

KEY ITEM Nature area uncertain timing does not effect other areas and is turned over when completed.

MayJanJanJuly20202021202220232023Image: State of the state

KEY ITEM Complete project finished 5 months early **benefiting the residents and saving money**.

石石瓷石石

A: 9 %

Exala Exalax



Earlier Turn Over of The Ball Fields

Eliminates <u>Risk of Wetlands</u> Delaying Other Components

Overall Project Completion 5 Months Early (Dec 2022 vs May 2023)

REVISED SCHEDULE



ORIGINAL SCHEDULE

DESIGN DURATION April 2020 – July 2021 (15 Months)	
SITE PACKAGE Oct 2020 – Sep 2021 (12 Months)	
AMPHITHEATER Jan 2021 – Dec 2021 (12 Months)	REC & AQUATIC
FIELDS/NATURE April 2021 – July 2022 (15 Months)	Jul 2021 – May 2023
	(23 Months)

AGENCIES – COVID 19 PLAN





KEY RELATIONSHIPS INCLUDING:

Claudia Diaz, P.E 305-375-1913 Claudia.diaz@miamidade.gov.

Wilbur Mayorga 305-372-6789. Wilbur.Mayorga@miamidade.gov.

Removing risk is through personal involvement

We know the key people and will contact EVERY agency and set up the tailored process per agency for this project

Review process with agencies

Leonor Flores to "hand off" documents and provide personal connection

Samir Elmir, PhD, PE, BCEE, 305-623-3500 Samir.Elmir@flhealth.gov Mark Silverman - Permit Reviewer 561-681-6778 marksilverman@dep.state.fl.us

KL WILL TAKE <u>OWNERSHIP AND RESPONSIBILITY</u> FOR THE PROCESS FROM PRECONSTRUCTION TO COMPLETION

RECAP – SCORING CRITERIA

Qualifications and staffing plan – 15 Points



South Florida based large construction manager that specializes in Municipal Construction including parks.

RDC Design/Build South Florida based firm that **specializes in parks and aquatic facilities**.

More pool experience of all types than any other firm in Florida



Miami based infrastructure construction firm specializing in large sites.

THE LOCAL EXPERTS



QUALIFICATIONS AND STAFFING PLAN



RECAP – SCORING CRITERIA

Team Cohesion and Intra-Team Relationships – 15 Points







PROVEN TEAM



CURRENTLY BUILDING GOLDEN GLADES MULTI-MODAL CENTER TOGETHER



PROVEN TEAMWORK

7 PARKS TOGETHER

CURRENTLY BUILDING 2 PARKS TOGETHER





RDC and BA built three parks for Doral! (design-build)

RECAP – SCORING CRITERIA

Past Performance on similar projects – 10 Points

THE LOCAL EXPERTS



Never late or over budget!

$\underset{F}{\underset{L}{\text{Boca}}}_{\text{F}}\underset{R}{\underset{L}{\text{Raton}}}_{\text{R}}$

"I have personal experience with five other general contractors and *NO ONE can* match the high level of competency and professionalism of KL."

Thomas Wood, CFO City of Boca Raton



"Kaufman Lynn completed the *City's largest and most complex project*. I commend KL with being able to complete the construction of the new park *within our time and budget constraints while operating under difficult conditions.*"

Bob Wertz, Senior Project Manager City of Hollywood



"Kaufman Lynn is the top firm because they constantly *deliver on their promises and are a TRUE PARTNER to the City*.

Vernon Hargray, City Manager City of Miramar



PROGRESS. INNOVATION. OPPORTUNI

"It was KL that helped make *critical decisions early in preconstruction that saved time*. *Teamwork and partnership* are two words I would use to describe the KL team."

Antonio Tomei, Capital Projects Manager City of Aventura

"As the <u>largest</u> project in our recent General Obligation Bond, *your team* set the bar for how CM at Risk projects should be delivered: through partnership, teamwork and exceptional execution."

Gregg Harris, Manager of Capital Project City of Hallandale Beach

Largest project in their recent General Obligation Bond Gregg Harris, Manager of Capital Project City of Hallandale Beach



Hallandale Beach PROGRESS. INNOVATION. OPPORTUNITY.



Selected for their \$40M GO Bond Program Jennifer Frastai, Assistant City Manager



Equipment OAKLAN Plaza Play Area Library Community Center FLORID

Urban Fores

Splash City of Oakland Park

RECAP – SCORING CRITERIA

Approach and methodology – 15 Points

FOCUS ON <u>EACH</u> COMPONENT

maximizing function & durability



THE KL DIFFERENCE

SITEWORK	TOTAL NET SAVINGS : \$629,600
RECREATION CENTER	<section-header>Better Functioning and Higher Quality</section-header>
AMPHITHEATER	Best practices and solutions Auger Cast Piling Right solution based on site soils
SPORTS FIELDS	Savings and enhancements
NATURE AREA	Natural and Synthetic Options
AQUATIC CENTER	Design Assist

Earlier Turn Over of The Ball Fields

Eliminates <u>Risk of Wetlands</u> Delaying Other Components

Overall Project Completion <u>5 Months Early</u> (Dec 2022 vs May 2023)

REVISED SCHEDULE



ORIGINAL SCHEDULE

DESIGN DURATION April 2020 – July 2021 (15 Months)	
SITE PACKAGE Oct 2020 – Sep 2021 (12 Months)	
AMPHITHEATER Jan 2021 – Dec 2021 (12 Months)	REC & AQUATIC
FIELDS/NATURE April 2021 – July 2022 (15 Months) J	Jul 2021 – May 2023
	(23 Months)

THE KL DIFFERENCE



Leonor Flores PROJECT EXECUTIVE

Doral is so **IMPORTANT** to us that Leonor will locate out of City Hall once selected.



AGENCIES – COVID 19 PLAN





KEY RELATIONSHIPS INCLUDING:

Claudia Diaz, P.E 305-375-1913 Claudia.diaz@miamidade.gov.

Wilbur Mayorga 305-372-6789. Wilbur.Mayorga@miamidade.gov.

Removing risk is through personal involvement

We know the key people and will contact EVERY agency and set up the tailored process per agency for this project

Review process with agencies

Leonor Flores to "hand off" documents and provide personal connection

Samir Elmir, PhD, PE, BCEE, 305-623-3500 Samir.Elmir@flhealth.gov Mark Silverman - Permit Reviewer : 561-681-6778 marksilverman@dep.state.fl.us

KL WILL TAKE <u>OWNERSHIP AND RESPONSIBILITY</u> FOR THE PROCESS FROM PRECONSTRUCTION TO COMPLETION

RECAP – SCORING CRITERIA

Quality Control/Quality Assurance – 15 Points Constructability/Design Review Process – 15 Points

DETAILS matter



All major components:

- First cost
- Long-term & operating cost
- Material Attributes

TOP QUALITY – INSPECT & DOCUMENT

QUALITY CONTROL	ANTE: N	WINDOW SYSTEM	So
	Tablet-based Program 3 rd Party Review of Submittais Watertlight Roofs, Windows High Indoor Air Quality Low Maintenance Cost Longer Building Life Extended Warranties	Improve window to slab detail to eliminate water intrusion potential	D
Builder: Kaufman Lynn Construction Co.		GYMNASIUM	
Project: Poter Bluesten Prk			
ELEVALITY Workstyne Beneveraam Project Final Report Find Impedion: 11/23/2016 Last Impedion: 9/322 Name of Impedion: 11/23/2016 Last Impedion: 9/322 Name of Impedion: 11/23/2016 Last Impedion: 9/322 Name of Impedion: 73 Becker Open Items Identified: 73 Items corrected: 73 Modules/Units Impedied: 7 Photos Taken: 312 Impedied: 1/2262/012/ ^{mark}	019 2010 2	Remove the moisture and VERIFY before installation	C
We proactively inspect to catch and correct even minor items Comprehensive documentation: 73 pages of specific details	Image: Section of the section of th	Enhanced QUALITY & LONGEVITY: Concrete band at edge	

chematic Drawings Stage Bring options and talk through system/amenity preferences. Determine key systems such as structural, etc.

Design Drawings Stage

Formal plan review and report catching issues before a redesign must occur.

Construction Drawings Stage Analyze drawings that

details were corrected

and review for new items



RECAP – SCORING CRITERIA

Cost Management – 15 Points

BUDGET ENHANCEMENTS

Long Term Analysis

Is it worth it having a Central Energy Plant?

Recommend two 300 ton chillers units for 600 tons total

Payback in 7 – 10 years

Additional Money

\$3.2M Grants for Doral

TOTAL SAVINGS Without altering scope or quality \$6 million – \$9.5 million



We will be located in City Hall and will coordinate in person as a whole team. **ONLY TEAM THAT IS 100% LOCAL!**
This is not just **ONE PARK** but a collection of **SEVERAL PARKS**



MORE RESOURCES

100% local team that will be involved ensuring expertise presented makes it into the project!

LOCALLY BASED

Others rely on out of town firms for big park experience. With us no cost for travel or housing.

ACCOUNTABILITY

Not a joint venture – we take responsibility

OUR COMMITMENT

KI COMMITMENT

Single-Point Accountability (not a joint-venture so no finger pointing)

Innovative Approach (saving money and enhancing facilities because this is our expertise)

Only local Firm with Large Local Parks (we do not need out of town firm for resume)

LOWEST PRICE AND WILL NEGOTIATE

Providing the residents the best value for their tax dollars

THE **LOCAL** EXPERTS

